

No broadcast company should use the public airwaves to present unbalanced electioneering. It's simply against the law to allow more airtime to one candidate's campaign than another. It is particularly nefarious for broadcast company to use the broadcast medium to present a unbalanced viewpoint disguised as a "news story" or "documentary."

It has come to my attention that Sinclair Broadcast Company has plans to air an hour-long "news" story that is unlawfully biased against one presidential candidate. I have no problem with the "news" story being aired as a paid political ad, as long as the other candidate has the same opportunity and the appropriate disclaimers are clearly presented with the story. The nature of the funding for the story should be clearly and consistently displayed.

I think it would be an injustice to the citizens of this country- which I truly value as being based on the freedom of speech- for such a story to be aired disguised as something other than a political ad, if it, indeed, is biased.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely, Jessica Coffin Garrett